

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,726	12/21/2001	Daniel T. Colbert	11321-P011C1D8	2764
759	08/28/2003			
Hugh R. Kress			EXAMINER	
WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C. 2400 Bank One Center			LISH, PETER J	
910 Travis Stree		•		
Houston, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1754	
		DATE MAIL ED: 08/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M^
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/027,726	COLBERT ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Peter J Lish	1754
Period 1	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
THE - Ext - If the - If No Fair - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	<i>July</i> 2003 .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Disposi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde tion of Claims		
4)区	Claim(s) 84-93 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
, 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>84-88 and 90-93</u> is/are rejected.		· ·
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>89</u> is/are objected to.		•
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)_	The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.	
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a	ı) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer		·
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		
·*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,
14)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language p		
Attachme	ent(s)		
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/027,726

Art Unit: 1754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is indefinite as to what is included under the limitation "cable-like".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,726

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 84-85, 87-88 and 91-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kiang et al. ("Carbon Nanotubes With Single-Layer Walls") with Zhang et al. ("Microscopic structure of as-grown single-wall carbon nanotubes by laser ablation") to show a state of fact.

Kiang teaches that single-walled nanotubes tend to aggregate into bundles. The nanotubes in a bundle run substantially parallel to one another (see Figure 2c). Zhang teaches that the tubes have a homogenous diameter and are packed into a two-dimensional triangular lattice (section 3.2 – "Bundle structure"). It is inherent to the bundled single-walled nanotubes that they have a homogenous diameter.

Regarding claims 87-88, no difference is seen between the bundles of single-walled nanotubes of Kiang et al. and the "cable-like" fibers formed from carbon fibers, each of which comprises single-walled nanotubes in a parallel orientation.

Claims 86 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kiang et al. ("Carbon Nanotubes With Single-Layer Walls") with Zhang et al. ("Microscopic structure of as-grown single-wall carbon nanotubes by laser ablation") to show a state of fact.

Kiang and Zhang are applied above. Regarding claim 86, it is not explicitly taught that the individual single-walled nanotubes in a bundle have homogenous lengths or helicities in any given region of the bundle. However, it is expected that at least two adjacent tubes will have the same helicity or the same length due to corresponding growth conditions. Thus it is expected that a region of a nanotube bundle have a homogenous length or helicity in addition to the homogenous diameter, which property is shared by the entire bundle.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,726

Art Unit: 1754

Regarding claim 90, it is not explicitly taught that the bundles of single-walled nanotubes

Page 4

may contain a portion that is not parallel. However, it is expected that this be the case because

Zhang holds that a large bundle can split into sub-bundles, and additionally because Kiang

observes this phenomenon (Fig. 2c).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 89 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 703-308-1772. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PL

STUART L. HENDRICKSON

STUART L. HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER